Rosenman

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ROSENMAN & COLIN LLP 1300 19TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

TELEPHONE: (202) 463-4640
FACSIMILE: (202) 429-0046
WEB SITE: http://www.rosenman.com

NEW YORK OFFICE 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585

NEW JERSEY OFFICE ONE GATEWAY CENTER NEWARK, NJ 07102-5397

SPECIAL COUNSEL
JEROLD L. JACOBS

RECEIVED

JUL 1 0 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

July 10, 1996

BY HAND

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: MM Docket No. 96-43

FM Table of Allotments

Frederiksted, Virgin Islands

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed herewith for filing, on behalf of our client, D/B/A Calypso Communications, are an original and four (4) copies of its "COUNTERPROPOSAL REPLY COMMENTS OF CALYPSO COMMUNICATIONS" in the above-referenced proceeding.

Please direct all inquiries and communications concerning this matter to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

Jerold L. Ja

Enc.

cc: As on Certificate of Service (all w/enc.)

No. of Comercial OJY

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

| Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 | |
|--|---|
| In the Matter of | on, D.C. 20554 Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary NM Docket No. 96.43 |
| Amendment of Section 73.202(b), | MM Docket No. 96-43 |
| Table of Allotments, |) RM-8754 |
| FM Broadcast Stations |) |
| (Frederiksted <u>and Charlotte Amalie</u> , ¹ Virgin Islands) |) RM-) |

TO: Chief, Allocations Branch Mass Media Bureau

COUNTERPROPOSAL REPLY COMMENTS OF CALYPSO COMMUNICATIONS

D/B/A CALYPSO COMMUNICATIONS ("Calypso"), permittee of Station WVNX(FM), Charlotte Amalie, Virgin Islands, by its attorneys, pursuant to §1.415(d) of the Commission's Rules, hereby submits its Counterproposal Reply Comments in support of its May 3, 1996 "Counterproposal and Comments" and in opposition to the Notice of Proposed Rule Making ("NPRM"), 11 FCC Rcd 3010 (Mass Media Bur. 1996), in this proceeding. In support whereof, the following is shown:

At the request of José J. Arzuaga ("Arzuaga"), the NPRM proposed to allot 1. Channel 297B1 to Frederiksted, Virgin Islands as that community's third local FM transmission service. By Public Notice (Report No. 2138, "Petition for Rulemaking Filed"), released June 25, 1996, the Commission requested comment on Calypso's Counterproposal, which has three objectives: (a) allot Channel 297B1 to Charlotte Amalie, instead of to Frederiksted; (b) modify Calypso's construction permit to specify operations on Channel 297B1, instead of Channel 246B, pursuant to §1.420(g) of the Rules, without allowing other expressions of interest; and (c) delete Channel 246B from the FM Table of Allotments as unusable.

¹ The community of Charlotte Amalie, Virgin Islands has been added to the caption.

- 2. As fully demonstrated in Calypso's "Counterpropoposal and Comments," Calypso's proposed Charlotte Amalie allotment enjoys a decisive preference over Arzuaga's proposed Frederiksted allotment. This is especially so because grant of Calypso's channel substitution proposal is necessary to allow Calypso to activate its already-granted construction permit for Station WVNX. The Commission originally allotted Channel 246B to Charlotte Amalie in 1987, and Calypso's Counterproposal seeks to implement that nine-year-old allotment judgment at the present time with a viable frequency. Arzuaga's proposal does not have any such equity and deserves no allotment preference. Calypso will now rebut Arzuaga's May 20, 1996 Reply Comments and will further show how and why its Counterproposal deserves a dispositive preference over Arzuaga's proposal under the "other public interest matters" fourth priority of FM Channel Policies/Procedures, 90 FCC 2d 88 (1982).²
- 3. The Engineering Statement ("Engineering Statement") in Calypso's "Counterproposal and Comments" fully supports allotting Channel 297B1 to Charlotte Amalie.

 The Counterproposal is summarized as follows:

² Calypso's May 20, 1996 Reply Comments also addressed a counterproposal filed by Rafael Serra ("Serra"), which proposes to allot Channel 296B1 to Frederiksted to satisfy Arzuaga's allotment request and then to allot Channel 298A to the allegedly licensable community of "Saint John, V.I" as a first local transmission service. Calypso showed that there were fatal legal and technical defects with Serra's plan, which warranted its dismissal without consideration. Since the Commission has not placed Serra's counterproposal on Public Notice, Calypso assumes that it will not be considered in this proceeding. If it is considered, Calypso hereby incorporates by reference the objections that it stated in its Reply Comments and in its June 13, 1996 Reply to Joint Opposition to Motion to Dismiss. Likewise, Calypso reiterates its objection to Serra's "expression of interest" in applying for Charlotte Amalie, contained in Paragraph 16 of Serra's "Joint Opposition to Motion to Dismiss". As explained in Paragraph 8 and footnote 4 of Calypso's "Counterproposal and Comments," Calypso's proposal to downgrade Station WVNX from Channel 246B to Channel 297B1 does not require entertaining other expressions of interest in the frequency under §1.420(g), because no upgrade is involved, and therefore Serra's purported "expression of interest" should be rejected.

Channel Number

| <u>City</u> | Present | Proposed |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Charlotte Amalie, Virgin Islands | *226A, 241B1, 246B, 250B, 271B, *275A, | *226A, 241B1, 250B, 271B, *275A, 282B, |
| , 118111 101111110 | 282B, 287B | 287B, 297B1 |

Note: No change in present allotments for Frederiksted, Virgin Islands.

- 4. It is well established that where, as here, a choice must be made between two proposed channel allotments and no first or second aural reception service or first local transmission service is involved, the Commission reaches its public interest determination by comparing and weighing all relevant engineering and socio-economic factors, including number of local services, relative size of communities, and relative overall service areas. See FM Channel Policies/Procedures, supra at 92 n.8; FM Table of Allotments (Greenup KY and Athens OH), 2 FCC Rcd 4319, 4321 (Mass Media Bur. 1987). Applying these criteria, it is clear that Calypso's proposed Charlotte Amalie allotment enjoys a decisive preference over Arzuaga's proposed Frederiksted allotment.
- 5. Commission allotment policy favors a general proportionality between communities' populations and the number of radio services. See Baker v. FCC, 834 F.2d 181, 183 n.4 (D.C. Cir. 1987) ("the need for radio service is assessed primarily in light of the facilities presently available in the proposed communities and their relative population"). Under that standard, the 11 to 3 ratio in aural services between Charlotte Amalie and Frederiksted, which Calypso proposes to perpetuate, compares very favorably with the 11 to 1 ratio in populations between the two cities. Therefore, Calypso urges that Frederiksted is amply served by the availability of three radio frequencies, and Arzuaga has shown absolutely no need for the

allotment of a third local FM transmission service when proportionality and common experience suggest that even a single local transmission service -- let alone three -- suffices for Frederiksted's minuscule population of 1,064. Simply stated, under the Commission's obligation in §307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §307(b), to "provide a fair, efficient, and equitable distribution of radio service" throughout the United States, Charlotte Amalie has a much greater public interest need for a technically viable eleventh aural service than Frederiksted has for a fourth service.

- 6. Most importantly, as explained in the <u>Engineering Statement</u>, grant of Calypso's channel substitution proposal is necessary to allow Calypso to implement its already-granted construction permit for Station WVNX. Thus, Calypso is <u>not</u> asking for an <u>additional</u> allotment to be made to Charlotte Amalie -- only that a <u>previously-authorized</u> allotment be made <u>usable</u>.
- 7. Calypso's construction permit for Station WVNX was initially issued on June 25, 1991 (Permit File No. BPH-870707MI), but it is not usable because operation on Channel 246B would result in mutually destructive interference with respect to Channel 247C, which is authorized in Tortola, British Virgin Islands. In its May 20, 1996 Reply Comments (at ¶°s 4-5), Arzuaga challenges this claim because the Tortola station is currently silent. However, Calypso has previously attempted to obtain a different channel substitution for the same reason, and, in Report and Order in MM Docket No. 92-244 ("R&O"), 10 FCC Rcd 8111, 8113 ¶10 (Mass Media Bur. 1995), the Commission confirmed Calypso's technical assessment of its inability to construct a station on Channel 246B, and held that allowing a channel substitution for Station WVNX was "warranted". Nevertheless, the Commission concluded that it could not find an allotment solution for Station WVNX in that proceeding. See R&O, supra, 10 FCC Rcd at 8114 ¶10. Thus, Arzuaga's challenge to Calypso's basic public interest justification for a new

allotment has already been decided <u>in Calypso's favor</u>, and the Commission should affirm that decision herein. Moreover, as the <u>Engineering Statement</u> demonstrates, only Channel 297 is available to cure Calypso's interference problem.

8. Finally, Arzuaga's Reply Comments (at ¶6) assert that it is more important to increase the number of FM stations in Frederiksted from two to three -- in terms of the "diversity of voices in the market" -- than it is to provide an eighth viable FM service to the much larger community of Charlotte Amalie. Arzuaga is mistaken in two respects. First, there is no Commission allotment case precedent supporting Arzuaga's "voices" notion, and none is cited by Arzuaga. Rather, what counts in allotment proceedings is establishing or maintaining a general proportionality between communities' populations and the number of local radio transmission services. See Baker v. FCC, supra. Calypso's Counterproposal clearly wins in that comparison, since its 11 to 1 edge in population is commensurate with the proposed 11 to 3 advantage in radio stations. Second, a proper "diversity of voices" argument (in Commission multiple ownership waiver cases) encompasses all radio and television transmission and reception services in a market -- not just FM transmission services in one city of that market. See Second Report and Order in MM Docket No. 87-7 (Broadcast Multiple Ownership Rules), 4 FCC Rcd 1741, 1751 ¶76, recon. granted in part, 4 FCC Rcd 6489 (1989). Thus, the Frederiksted "market" includes Frederiksted's three AM and FM authorizations, as well as Christiansted's one AM, five FM, and one TV authorization. This total of 10 Frederiksted market "voices" is essentially the same as the 13 Charlotte Amalie market "voices" (11 AM and FM authorizations and two TV authorizations). Hence, even if Arzuaga's "voices" argument were relevant in allotment cases -- which it is not -- the facts do not show that the Frederiksted "market" is appreciably different from the Charlotte Amalie "market" in terms of "diversity of voices" and

do not provide any basis for preferring Arzuaga's proposal over Calypso's.

9. In sum, Calypso's Counterproposal should prevail over Arzuaga's proposal

because: (a) it permits the Commission to resolve a technical interference impasse whereby

Calypso is currently required to construct Station WVNX on an unusable frequency at Charlotte

Amalie; and (b) it allows Charlotte Amalie to obtain a usable eighth FM transmission service,

which was originally allotted in 1987 and for which it has a greater need than Frederiksted under

established allotment criteria. Calypso reiterates its intention to promptly file an application to

implement operation on Channel 297B1 at Charlotte Amalie, if that allotment is adopted by the

Commission.

WHEREFORE, in light of the foregoing, D/B/A Calypso Communications respectfully

requests that the Commission should grant its Counterproposal, deny Arzuaga's Frederiksted

proposal, and amend the FM Table of Allotments as set forth in Paragraph 3, supra.

Respectfully submitted,

D/B/A CALYPSO COMMUNICATIONS

Howard J. Braun

erold L. Jacobs

ROSENMAN & COLIN LLP

1300 - 19th Street, N.W.

Suite 200

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 463-4640

Its Attorneys

Dated: July 10, 1996

-6-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Debra A. Williams, a secretary in the law offices of Rosenman & Colin LLP, do hereby certify that on this 10th day of July, 1996, I have caused to be mailed, or hand-delivered, a copy of the foregoing "COUNTERPROPOSAL REPLY COMMENTS OF CALYPSO COMMUNICATIONS" to the following:

John A. Karousos, Chief*
Allocations Branch
Policy and Rules Division
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, N.W., Room 554
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ms. Sharon P. McDonald*
Allocations Branch
Policy and Rules Division
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, N.W., Room 554
Washington, D.C. 20554

James L. Oyster, Esq.
Law Offices of James L. Oyster
108 Oyster Lane
Castleton, Virginia 22716-9720
COUNSEL FOR JOSE J. ARZUAGA and
RAFAEL SERRA

Deby a. William

*BY HAND